# A descriptive analysis of serum creatinine variation in users assuming TDF-PrEP: a possible role of age and comedication

M. Albertini, M. Menozzi, E. Martini, M.D. Di Trapani, A. Soffritti, B. Fontana, C. Mussini - Infectious Disease Clinic, AOU Policlinico di Modena, Italy

### Introduction

Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), based on the association of tenofovir disoproxil (TDF)/emtricitabine (FTC), is an efficacious strategy to prevent HIV infection in seronegative people. TDF may associated with increase of serum creatinine as a proxy of kidney toxicity.

This study aims to describe the population of PrEP users from our centre, focusing on serum creatinine levels trends and the differences between the population aged over and under 50 years old (yo).

# **Study Design and Methods**

We conducted a retrospective observational study reviewing data from the University Hospital of Modena, collected from January 2019 to March 2023.

- Every 3 months clinical assessment and serum creatinine levels were collected.
- Nephrotoxicity was defined as at least 20% increase in serum creatinine between basal and last follow-up value.
- We divided the population by age group at PrEP initiation (<50 yo, > 50 yo); a secondary analysis was performed including users aged >50 yo only, focusing on nephrotoxicity prevalence in that population.

A descriptive analysis was performed using mean (standard deviations) and number (frequency) for continuous and categorical variables respectively. Comparisons were done with Mann-Whitney U test, ANOVA and Chi-square test according to variable distribution and type.

# Results

We collected data of 111 PrEP subjects. Table 1 describes cohort characteristics, PrEP regimens, reasons for PrEP begin and interruption and side effects.

Tab. 1 PrEP users characteristics

	Tab. 111E1 decis characteristics							
	<50 years n (%)	>50 years n (%)	tot n (%)	p-value				
	88 (98.9)	23 (20.7)	111					
Sex M MTF	87 (98.9) 1 (1.1)	22 (95.7) 1 (4.4)	109 (98.2) 2 (1.8)	0.30				
Nationality Italy South America Africa Asia East Europe	74 (84.1) 4 (4.6) 5 (5.7) 3 (3.4) 2 (2.3)	22 (95.7) 1 (4.4) 0 (0) 0 (0) 0 (0)	96 (86.5) 5 (4.5) 5 (4.5) 3 (2.7) 2 (1.8)	0.58				
MSM No Yes Not known	4 (4.6) 72 (81.8) 12 (13.6)	1 (4.4) 18 (78.3) 4 (17.3)	5 (4.5) 90 (81.1) 16 (14.4)	0.71				
<b>Regimen</b> On-demand Daily Both	53 (63.1) 20 (23.8) 11 (13.1)	14 (60.9) 5 (21.7) 4 (17.4)	67 (62.6) 25 (23.4) 15 (14.0)	0.87				
Reason of begin Risk sexual intercourses Previous STIs Previous PEP Chemsex Self-safety Not known	55 (68.8) 12 (15) 2 (2.5) 2 (2.5) 9 (11.3) 8 (9.1)	13 (68.4) 3 (15.8) 1 (5.3) 1 (5.3) 1 (5.3) 4 (17.4)	68 (68.7) 15 (15.2) 3 (3.0) 3 (3.0) 10 (10.1) 12 (10.0)	0.86				
Interruption reason Stable partner Lost to follow-up Toxicity Lockdown Parenthood Not known	3 (11.5) 18 (69.2) 1 (3.8) 0 (0) 0 (0) 4 (15.4)	0 (0) 3 (60) 0 (0) 1 (20) 0 (0) 1 (20)	3 (9.7) 21 (67.7) 1 (3.2) 1 (3.2) 0 (0) 5 (16.1)	0.19				
Side effects None Gastrointestinal disturys Nephrotoxicity Hepatic toxicity Other Not known	52 (71.2) 10 (13.7) 1 (1.4) 9 (12.3) 1 (1.4) 0 (0)	17 (77.3) 4 (18.2) 0 (0) 1 (4.6) 0 (0) 0 (0)	69 (72.6) 14 (14.7) 1 (1.0) 10 (10.5) 1 (1.1) 0 (0)	0.76				
Condom use Never Always Intermittent	7 (10.6) 19 (28.8) 40 (60.6)	1 (4.8) 4 (19.1) 16 (76.2)	8 (9.2) 23 (26.4) 56 (64.4)	0.41				

#### **NEPHROTOXICITY**

Focusing on renal function, data were available for 95 users.

Twelve (13,3%) subjects presented nephrotoxicity (tab 2).

The maximum increase was of 0,75 ml/min (from 1.36 ml/min to 2.11 ml/min) undergoing man lisinopril comedication, leading PrEP interruption.

Tab. 2 Population with nephrotoxicity

	Basal creatinine level (mg/dl)	Last creatinine level (mg/dl)	Difference (mg/dl)	Age (years)	PrEP regimen	Nephrotoxic drugs
User 1	0.88	1.07	0.19	39	On demand	proteins
User 2	1.01	1.23	0.22	47	Daily	ramipril, allopurinol
User 3	0.77	2.24	0.23	39	Daily	proteins
User 4	0.88	1.07	0.19	28	Both	
User 5	0.71	0.86	0.15	44	On demand	
User 6	1.36	2.11	0.75	49	On demand	lisinopril
User 7	0.71	0.88	0.17	41	Daily	gabapentin
User 8	0.65	0.8	0.15	56	Daily	
User 9	1.09	1.32	0.23	64	On demand	olmesartan, rosuvastatin
User 10	0.74	0.96	0.22	62	Daily	losartan
User 11	0.81	0.98	0.17	63	Daily	zofenopril, rosuvastatin
User 12	0.68	0.86	0.18	51	Daily	

## **NEPHROTOXICITY IN POPULATION OVER 50 YEARS OLD**

In the secondary analysis among the population over 50 yo including 23 subjects, 5 (21,7%) developed nephrotoxicity (tab 3). None of them experienced an increase in creatinine value that required PrEP interruption. Our analysis did not show any statistically significant difference between the two age groups.

Tab. 3 Population over 50 years old

	Basal creatinine level (mg/dl)	Last creatinine level (mg/dl)	Difference (mg/dl)	PrEP regimen	Nephrotoxic drugs
User 1	0,65	0,80	0,15	Daily	
User 2	1,09	1,32	0,23	On demand	olmesartan, rosuvastatin
User 3	0,74	0,96	0,22	Daily	Iosartan
User 4	0,81	0,98	0,17	Daily	zofenopril, rosuvastatin
User 5	0,68	0,86	0,18	Daily	
User 6	0,85	0,90	0,05	On demand	
User 7	0,78	0,90	0,12	On demand	allopurinol
User 8	0,88	0,93	0,05	On demand	avanafil
User 9	1,19	1,19	0	On demand	ramipril
User 10	0,77	0,89	0,12	On demand	
User 11	1,11	1,01	-0,10	On demand	
User 12	1,14	1,08	-0,06	On demand	
User 13	0,98	0,77	-0,21	On demand	
User 14	0,81	0,76	-0,05	Both	
User 15	1,06	missing		On demand	
User 16	0,88	0,81	-0,07	On demand	ramipril, rosuvastatin
User 17	1,15	1,30	0,15	On demand	
User 18	0,88	0,94	0,06	On demand	
User 19	0,91	0,85	-0,06	On demand	
User 20	0,73	0,80	0,07	Both	ramipril, mirtazapine
User 21	1,02	1,16	0,14	On demand	
User 22	0,92	0,89	-0,03	On demand	rosuvastatin
User 23	0,93	0,85	-0,08	Daily	

### **Conclusion**

Our data confirm safety of TDF as a PrEP medication, with low interruption rates even in older users. Nevertheless, it remains fundamental to consider concomitant comedications which may increase the risk of renal toxicity and larger cohorts. In this perspective the advent of newer prevention strategies alternative to oral TDF may be considered in some special categories of PrEP users.

References Corresponding author

- 1. US Public Health Service: Preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of hiv infection in the unitedstates-2021 update, a clinical practice guideline
- 2. Drak et all «Renal impairment in a large-scale HIV preexposure prophylaxis implementation cohort»